THREE SUBROUTINES FOR THE ANALYSIS OF SUSPENSION BRIDGES

CLAUDIO FRANCIOSI

Istituto di Scienza delle Costruzioni, Facolta' di Ingegneria, Universita' di Napoli, 80125 Napoli, Italy

(Received 12 February 1987)

Abstract—A new procedure for analysis of suspension bridges has been recently presented (Franciosi and Franciosi, *Comput. Struct.* 26, 499–512, 1987), in which the so-called cell method was employed in order to obtain static and dynamic response of a one-span suspension bridge.

In the present paper three efficient subroutines are introduced, which allow us to calculate the strain energy matrix, Lagrangian mass matrix and participation factors of each vibration mode. Every matrical operation is avoided, so that the proposed method is very fast and manageable. Both the matrices can be immediately built, and a standard eigenvalue package will furnish eigenvalues and eigenvectors. Then, the third subroutine calculates the participation factors of the modes, for synchronous and asynchronous earthquakes

A numerical example shows that the cell method leads to good approximations, even when the discretization is very rough.

1. INTRODUCTION

Consider a one-span suspension bridge, whose span is l, whose sag is f, subjected to a distributed dead load g. The cell method discretizes the structure in such a way that the following free vibration equation is obtained:

$$(K + GB)c - \omega^2 Mc = 0 \tag{1}$$

where

$$G = \frac{gl^3}{8f(n+1)}$$

and n is the number of elastic cells in which the strain energy is supposed to be concentrated.

The strain energy matrix, K, the stiffening matrix, B, and the Lagrangian matrix of the masses, M, can be calculated as in [1], but the matrical formulation is clearly not very suitable for the computer. For the sake of clarity, we report here some interesting formulae.

The matrix K is given in Table 1, where k is the array of the concentrated dibilities, and c_D^0 is the horizontal displacement of the left abutment due to a force H = 1, and in presence of fixed Lagrangian coordinates. (See [1, formulae 20].)

The matrix B is simply given by $B_{ii} = 2$ and $B_{ij} = 1$ if $i \neq j$. Finally, the Lagrangian matrix of the masses can be obtained from the triple matrical product

$$\widetilde{M} = V^T M V, \tag{2}$$

where M is the (diagonal) matrix of the concentrated masses, and V is the diplacement matrix of these masses. V is given by

$$-\frac{l}{n+1} \quad \text{if} \quad 2 \le j \le i-1$$

$$V_{ii} = 0$$
 if $i \le j \le n+1$.

If the three matrices are obtained, then the eigenvalue problem (1) can be solved, by means of a usual eigensolution package, and the frequencies ω_i^2 can be detected, together with their free vibration mode e_i .

Modal superposition analysis is then used in order to obtain the seismic response of the bridge. In [1] the earthquake was assimilated to a sinusoidal displacement of the abutments, whose frequency is ω_s^2 . In this case, the participation factors of each mode are given by

$$p_{ai} = \frac{e_i^T b}{\omega^2 e^T M e_i} \tag{4}$$

if only one of the abutments moves, or by

$$p_{si} = \frac{e_i^T V^T m}{e_i^T M e} \tag{5}$$

if both the abutments move in a synchronous way. The array m contains the concentrated masses, while b is given by:

$$b_i = \frac{8f}{(n+1)^2 c_D^0} (1+n-i).$$

2. THE SUBROUTINES

The three subroutines are given in the Appendix. The first one allows us to obtain (K + GB), according to the scheme in Table 1. Intput data are indicated in the listing, and the procedure is quite simple: for each row i the following elements are calculated:

- -the main diagonal element (row 350)
- —the element (i + 1, i) (row 360)
- —the elements (j, i) for j from i + 2 to n 1 (rows 370–390)
- —the element (n, i) (row 400).

Table 1. Strain energy matrix

i = j	
$k_{ii} = k_i + k_{i+1} + k_{n+1} + \frac{64f^2}{(n+1)^4 c_D^O} (1+n-i)^2$	if $i < n$
$k_{nn} = k_n + 4k_{n+1} + \frac{64f^2}{(n+1)^4 c_D^0}$	if $i = n$
i < j < n	
$k_{ij} + k_{n+1} + \frac{64f^2}{(n+1)^4 c_D^6} (1+n-i)(1+n-j)$	if $j > i + 1$
$k_{i,i+1} = -k_{i+1} + k_{n+1} + \frac{64f^2}{(n+1)^4 c_D^0} (1+n-i)(n-i)$	if $j = i + 1$
j = n	
$k_{in} = 2k_{n+1} + \frac{64f^2}{(n+1)^4 c_D^0} (1+n-i)$	if $i < n-1$
$k_{n-1,n} = -k_n + 2k_{n+1} + 2\frac{64f^2}{(n+1)^4c_D^0}$	if $i = n - 1$

Finally, the elements (n, n-1) and (n, n) are calculated with the rows 420-430. The rows 490-570 add the second order effects.

The second subroutine calculates the Lagrangian matrix of the masses, by means of triple matrical product (2). It is easy to see that the particular structure of the matrix V, and the diagonal form of the matrix M reduce the routine to the loop 310-360, and every matrical operation can be avoided. This subroutine assumes a constant mass distribution, but it is evident that a slightly modified routine can handle a general mass distribution.

With the aid of these two subroutines an eigenvalue problem is defined, which can be solved by means of a usual eigensolution package. Natural periods and free vibration modes are thus obtained.

Finally, the third subroutine uses these results in order to detect the seismic response of the bridge to both synchronous and asynchronous earthquakes. Modal participation factors are calculated, according to eqns (4) and (5). First, the common denominator is obtained (rows 400-500), then two simple loops (rows 590-610 and 720-740) give the desired quan-

tities. It is worth noting that even in this case the structure of the V matrix allows us to avoid the matrical products.

3. A NUMERICAL EXAMPLE

A one-span suspension bridge is considered, which was examined previously in [1]. Its geometrical data are reported in Table 2, and it is only necessary to add that the hangers' number is equal to 165. Therefore, it seems rather artificial to discretize the beam by introducing more than 165 elastic cells. In any case, we shall see that the cell method has convergence properties which allow us to drastically reduce the number of the Lagrangian coordinates. For example, in Table 3 the first five eigenvalues are reported, for various discretization degrees. It is easy to see that the introduction of 40 Lagrangian coordinates gives excellent results.

These eigenvalues were obtained by means of a routine which uses the Householder reduction, and the Sturm sequence properties [2], because only the first eigenvalues were required. In the last case

Table 2. Geometrical data of the proposed Messina suspension bridge

Description	Name	Value
Central span	1	3300 m
Lateral span	l,	990 m
Sag of the cable	f	300 m
Distance between the cable	•	
and the bridge at the middle		
of the central span	c	12 m
Area of cable	A_c	5.856 m ²
Total area of the hangers		7.491 m ²
Young's modulus of the cable	$\boldsymbol{E}_{c}^{\prime}$	18,000,000 tm ⁻²
Young's modulus of bridge and hangers	$egin{aligned} A_p \ E_c \ E_t \end{aligned}$	21,000,000 tm ⁻²
(Constant) moment of inertia	•	
of the bridge	I	6.019 m ⁴
Dead load per unit length	g	94 tm ⁻¹

Table 3. The first five eigenvalues for the different discretrization degrees

	λι	λ_2	λ ₃	λ ₄	λ,
$\overline{n=3}$	0.1309	0.2041	0.2852	_	_
n=5	0.1473	0.2267	0.3573	0.4427	0.5537
n = 10	0.1572	0.2354	0.4085	0.5805	0.8632
n = 20	0.1603	0.2378	0.4257	0.6290	0.9812
n = 40	0.1612	0.2384	0.4305	0.6431	1.016
n = 80	0.1614	0.2385	0.4318	0.6469	1.026
n = 165	0.1614	0.2385	0.4318	0.6469	1.026

(n = 165) the simultaneous inverse iteration method, as given in [3], is slightly more economical, while the Levit min-max method [4] seems to be rather unsatisfactory, at least in the eigenvectors calculation.

Bending moments and shear stresses due to an earthquake can be calculated by means of the modal superposition principle, according to [1]. If the earthquake period is far from the natural periods of the structure, then the bending moments are nearly constant along the beam, and consequently the shear stresses are almost zero. In Table 4 the bending moment at the middle point of the beam is given for different discretization degrees. It is necessary to obtain all the eigenvalues and eigenvectors, hence the CPU time increases considerably.

Fortunately, the convergence properties of the cell method lead to a very good result even if three Lagrangian coordinates are introduced. This means that the cell method can be implemented on a computer with very limited memory capacity, and the results will be satisfactory.

Table 4. Bending moment at the middle point of the beam, for different discretization degrees

n	3	5	10	20	40
M	410.1	398.0	391.5	390.1	389.8

Note: The period of the earthquake is 0.3 sec, hence the bridge is far from resonance.

The computation of all the eigenvalues and eigenvectors was conducted through the Wilkinson QL method [5] and through the classical Jacobi method [6], and they have proved to be almost equivalent. Of course, the other above mentioned methods are more expensive.

REFERENCES

- C. Franciosi and V. Franciosi, Suspension bridge analysis using Lagrangian approach. Comput. Struct. 26, 499-512 (1987).
- J. Ortega, The Givens-Householder method for symmetric matrices. In *Mathematical Methods for Digital Computers*, Vol. 2 (Edited by A. Ralston and E. Wilf), pp. 94-115 (1967).
- A. Jennings and R. B. Corr, A simultaneous interation algorithm for symmetric eigenvalue problem. Int. J. Numer. Meth. Engng 10, 647-663 (1976).
- I. Levit, A new numerical procedure for symmetric eigenvalue problems. Comput. Struct. 18, 977-988 (1984).
- J. H. Wilkinson, The Algebriac Eigenvalue Problem. Oxford University Press, Oxford (1965).
- J. Greenstadt, The determination of the characteristic roots of the matrix by the Jacobi method. In Mathematical Methods for Digital Computers, Vol. 1 (Edited by A. Ralston and E. Wilf), pp. 84-91 (1960).

APPENDIX

In this appendix the listing of the three subroutines is given. They are written in HP BASIC, and they were implemented on the HP 9807 Integral Personal Computer.

```
10 SUB "Matk" (k(),1,f,g,cd,n,a(,))
20 REM
30 REM
40 RFM
50 REM
                      SUBROUTINE
60 REM
70 REM
80 REM
90 RFM
                             STRAIN ENERGY MATRIX
100 REM
                   TAKING INTO ACCOUNT SECOND ORDER EFFECTS
120 REM ******
130 OPTION BASE I
140 REM --
150 REM
                       VARIABLES
                                            INDEX
160 REM-
170 REM
          INPUT DATA:
180 REM +
                                     array of concentrated cedibilities
190 REM *
                       k(n+2) real
200 REM *
                         real
                                     span of the bridge
210 REM *
                      f real
                                     sag of the cable
220 REM *
                                     dead load for unit length
                         real
230 REM *
                                     horizontal displacement of the
                      cd real
240 REM *
                                       left end due to H=1
250 REM *
                         integer
                                     number of lagrangian coordinates
260 REM *
```

CLAUDIO FRANCIOSI

```
280 REM *
290 REM . OUTPUT DATA :
300 REM +
         a(n,n) real
                           strain energy matrix
310 REM .
330 REM
    FOR i=1 TO n-1
340
        a(i,i)=k(i)+k(i+1)+k(n+1)+64*f^2/(n+1)^4/cd*(i+n-i)^2
350
        a(i,i+1),a(i+1,i)=-k(i+1)+k(n+1)+64*f^2/(n+1)^4/cd*(1+n-i)*(n-i)
360
           FOR j=1+2 TO n-1
370
           a(i,j),a(j,i)=k(n+1)+64*f^2/(n+1)^4/cd*(1+n-1)*(1+n-j)
NEXT j
390
    a(i,n),a(n,i)=2*k(n+1)+64*f^2/(n+1)^4/cd*(1-i+n)
NEXT i
400
410
   a(n,n-1),a(n-1,n)=+k(n)+2*k(n+1)+64*f^2/(n+1)^4/cd*2
420
430
   a(n,n)=k(n)+4*k(n+1)+64*f^2/(n+1)^4/cd
440 REM
450 REM ---
460 REM
             SECOND ORDER EFFECTS
470 REM -----
480 REM
490 const=g*1^3/8/f/(n+1)
500 FOR i=1 TO n
510 a(i,i)=a(i,i)+2*const
520 NEXT i
530 FOR i=2 TO n
540 FOR j=1 TO i-1
550 a(i,j),a(j,i)=a(i,j)+const
560 NEXT j
570 NEXT
580 SUBEND
40 REM *
50 REM *
 60 REM *
                SUBROUTINE Matm
 70 REM
 80 REM
 90 REM
                 LAGRANGIAN MATRIX OF THE MASSES
 100 RFM +
 110 REM +
 130
      OPTION BASE 1
 140 REM -----
 150 REM
                VARIABLES INDEX
 170 REM
 180 REM * INPUT DATA :
 190 REM * 1 real
                              span of the bridge
200 REM *
                 n
                     integer
                              number of lagrangian coordinates *
210 REM .
                              distributed masses on the bridge *
                 mass real
 220 REM *
 240 REM *
250 REM . OUTPUT DATA :
260 REM *
                   b(n,n)
                           lagrangian matrix of the masses
270 REM *
290 REM
 300 \text{ const} = ((-1)/(n+1))^2 + \text{mass}
 310 FOR 1=1 TO n
320 FOR j=1 TO i
340 b(i,j),b(j,i)=(n-i+1)*const
350 NEXT j
360 NEXT 1
370 SUBEND
 30 REM #
 50 REM +
                 SUBROUTINE Particip
 50 REM #
 70 REM +
80 REM +
```

```
90 REM *
                    PARTICIPATION COEFFICIENTS FOR
100 REM +
                SYNCHRONOUS AND ASYNCHRONOUS EARTHQUAKES
110 REM *
120 REM ***
130 REM
140 OPTION BASE 1
150 REM -----
160 REM
                 VARIABLES INDEX
170 REM -
180 RFM
190 REM ******
200 REM * INPUT DATA:
                              number of lagrangian coordinates number of computed eigenvalues
210 REM *
220 REM *
                    nmodes
230 REM +
                              distributed mass
                    Mass.
240 REM *
                                  bridge span
250 REM +
                    b(n,n)
                                  lagrangian matrix of masses
260 REM *
                    vet(n,nmodes)
                                  eigenvectors
270 REM *
                    bsm(n)
                                  subsidiary array
280 REM *
                                  earthquake frequency
                    om2s
290 REM *
320 REM * OUTPUT DATA:
330 REM *
                    ps(nmodes) particip, coeff, for sync, earth.
340 REM *
                    pa(nmodes) particip. coeff. for asyn. earth.
350 REM *
370 REM
380 REM
390 const=(-mass)*1/(n+1)
400 FOR i=1 TO nmodes
410 p1=0
420
         FOR j≈1 TO n
430
         av1(j)=0
         FOR k=1 TO n
440
450
              av1(j)=av1(j)+b(j,k)*vet(k,i)
           NEXT k
460
         NEXT j
470
  pl=pl+avl(j)*vet(j,i)
NEXT j
   FOR j=1 TO n
480
490
500
510 REM
520 REM **
530 REM *
540 RFM +
              SINCHRONOUS
                                  EARTHQUAKE
550 REM *
570 REM
580 ps(i)=0
      FOR j=1 TO n
590
600
       ps(i)=ps(i)+vet(j,i)*bsm(j)
610
       NEXT j
620 ps(i)=ps(i)/p1/om2s
630 REM
640 REM
650 REM ------
660 REM *
                                  EARTHQUAKE
670 REM +
             ASINCHRONOUS
680 REM *
700 REM
710
       pa(i)=0
        FOR j=1 TO n
720
         pa(i)=pa(i)+vat(j,i)*const*(n-j+i)
NEXT j
730
740
750 pa(i)=pa(i)/p1
760 NEXT 1
770 SUBEND
```